Msc. intern project

Towards better understanding of the highly overpressured Lower Triassic Bunter reservoir rocks in the Terschelling Basin

Stefan Peeters¹

Supervisors:

Drs. Annemiek Asschert²

Dr. Hanneke Verweij³

Dr. Fred Beekman¹

November 2015

³TNO Princetonlaan 6, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands







¹Utrecht University Heidelberglaan 8, 3584 CS Utrecht, The Netherlands

²EBN B.V. Daalsesingel 1, 3511 SV Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract

Large lateral variations in pore fluid formation pressures and associated overpressures occur in the Lower Triassic Bunter reservoir rocks in the Terschelling Basin. This study describes in detail the main controlling factors on the distribution of these overpressures in the Terschelling basin by combining structural interpretations based on seismic data with pressure data. Insight in these controlling factors also makes it possible to estimate the pore fluid overpressures in the Bunter compartments that haven't been drilled (yet). Furthermore, this study aims to gain insight into the overpressure generating mechanisms that are responsible for the observed overpressures. Additionally, a prospectivity analysis for the presence of gas in the Bunter strata in the Terschelling Basin is performed in this study.

Regarding the controlling factors on the distribution of overpressure in the Lower Triassic bunter strata, it is found that the most important controlling factor on the distribution of overpressures is the presence of both lateral and vertical permeability barriers. Lateral permeability barriers are formed by Zechstein salt and faults. The most important vertical permeability barrier is the Upper Triassic Röt evaporite. In the Bunter compartments where the Röt evaporite is continuously present, high overpressures of around 30MPa and higher are observed. In areas where the Röt evaporite is absent due to Mid-Kimmerian uplift and subsequent erosion, overpressures are lower than 15MPa. The timing of the formation of the lateral permeability barriers (Zechstein salt and faults) has been of great importance for the generation of the high pore fluid overpressures in the compartments, as overpressures could have build up after hydraulic closure of the compartments. Based on structural interpretations, by making use of seismic data, it is concluded that the compartments where the Röt evaporite is continuously present became hydraulically restricted in three phases; starting with Middle Triassic faulting (phase 1) followed by the activity of Zechstein salt walls, diapirs and domes during the Middle and Late Triassic (phase 2). Subsequently, the compartments became fully hydraulically restricted during Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous faulting (phase 3). Calculations show that the subsequent sedimentary loading during the Cretaceous and Cenozoic could largely explain the observed overpressures in the hydraulically restricted Lower Triassic Bunter reservoirs. Hence, it is concluded that sedimentary loading during the Cretaceous and Cenozoic is the main pressure generating mechanism for the Lower Triassic Bunter reservoir rocks in the Terschelling Basin. Additionally, the local presence of natural gas contributes at some places to the pore fluid overpressures. Secondary salt cementation (salt plugging) in the Bunter reservoir rocks is not likely to have contributed to the generation of overpressures because it predates the build up of overpressures. However, secondary salt cementation does pose a major risk for the presence of gas in the Bunter reservoir rocks, as it presumably predates the main phase of gas-generation.

Table of contents

	Introduction	
2.	Background	6
	2.1. Fluid flow fundamental concepts	7
	2.1.1. Why do fluids flow in the subsurface?	7
	2.1.2. Why do overpressures form?	8
	2.1.3. Overpressure generating mechanisms	9
	2.2. Geological history of the Terschelling Basin	10
	2.2.1. Paleozoic	10
	2.2.2. Mesozoic	
	2.2.3. Cenozoic	13
	2.3. Structural elements in the Dutch offshore	-13
	2.3.1. Classification of the structural elements	13
	2.3.2. Terschelling Basin and the surrounding structural elements	
	2.4. Stratigraphy	15
	2.5. Regional Distribution of overpressure in the North Sea Area	18
	2.6. Petroleum System of the Lower Triassic Bunter Play	
	2.6.1. Charge	20
	2.6.2. Reservoir	20
	2.6.3. Seal	
	2.6.4. Traps	
3.	Methods	22
	3.1. Identification of Bunter compartments	22
	3.1.1. Seismic response to lithology	22
	3.1.2. Seismic to well correlation	
	3.1.2.1. Synthetic seismograms	25
	3.1.3. Interpretation of the horizons	28
	3.2. Pressure analysis	29
	3.2.1. Types of Pressure data	29
	3.2.2. SNS Pressure Project	30
	3.2.3. Quality Coding of the pressure data	31
	3.2.4. Visualizing the pressure data	32
	3.3. Interpretation of the permeability boundaries	33
	3.3.1. Faults	33
	3.3.2. Salt structures	33
	3.3.3. Estimating the closure time of the Bunter compartments	35
	3.4. Calculating the overpressures caused by sedimentary loading	-35
4.	General results	37
	4.1. Identification of the Bunter compartments	37

	4.2. Regional pressure analysis	37
	4.3. Detailed pressure analysis	42
5.	Results per individual compartment	45
	5.1. Compartment 1	
	5.2. Compartment 2	56
	5.3. Compartment 3	68
	5.4. Compartment 4	69
	5.5. Compartment 5	73
	5.6. Compartments 6 & 10	77
	5.7. Compartment 7	85
	5.8. Compartment 8	88
	5.9. Compartment 9 & 14	96
	5.10. Compartment 11	106
	5.11. Compartments 12 & 13	111
	5.12. Compartment 15	
	5.13. Compartment 16	121
	5.14. Compartment 17	
	5.15. Compartment 18	
	5.16. Compartment 19	147
6.	Summarized Results	149
	6.1. Pressure classification of the Bunter compartments	149
	6.2. Geological evolution of the Bunter compartments	152
	6.2.1. Closure time of the hydraulically restricted compartments	152
	6.2.2. Geological history of the hydraulically open compartments	
	6.3. Cause of overpressures	
	6.4. Prospectivity analysis	154
	6.4.1. Salt cementation	
	6.4.2. History of the petroleum system	
7.	Discussion	
	7.1. Limitations in mapping of the Rot and Muschelkalk horizons	
	7.2. Limitations in the pressure analysis	159
	7.3. Limitations in interpretation of the permeability boundaries	
	7.4. Limitation in the overpressure calculation	
	7.5. Limitations in Prospectivity analysis	160
	7.6. Implications of study and comparison with other studies	
	Conclusions	
	Recommendations for future work	
	. Acknowledgements	
	. Appendices	
12	. References	173

ne remainder of this report contains information that is (temporarily) confidential.	